Volume 10, Issue 11, November 2024, Publish Date: 08-11-2024 Doi https://doi.org/10.55640/ijmsdh-10-11-04

International Journal of Medical Science and Dental Health

(Open Access)

OBSTETRIC UTERINE RUPTURE OF THE UNSCARRED UTERUS: A CASE SERIES OF SIX

IMEN BEN FARHAT 1, OLFA ZOUKAR^{®1}, BERGAOUI HAIFA^{®1}, EKRAM GUERBEJ^{®1}, AMIRA OUNISSI^{®1}, SANA BOUAKEZ^{®1}, SANA ALOUANI^{®1}, DHEKRA TOUMI[®]1, RAJA FALEH^{®1}

¹Université de Monastir, Faculté de médecine de Monastir, Monastir, Tunisia

ABSTRACT

Objectives: Uterine rupture in an unscarred uterus is a reality. It is a rare obstetrical complication that requires prompt diagnosis and immediate management. The aim of our study is to describe and analyze the epidemiological characteristics, maternal and fetal prognostic elements, and different therapeutic modalities of uterine rupture in an unscarred uterus. **Methods:** This is a descriptive retrospective study spanning a 6-year period from 2017 to 2022. **Results:** The incidence was 1.7/10,000 deliveries, with a mean maternal age of 31.3 years. All patients were multiparous, and rupture occurred during labor in all cases. The main clinical presentation was a non-reassuring fetal heart rate tracing. Surgical treatment was conservative in 4 out of 6 cases. There were no maternal deaths, but the fetal prognosis was severe, with two fetal deaths. **Conclusion:** Unscarred uterine rupture is a rare but serious obstetric complication. In this series, maternal-fetal morbidity and mortality were high, consistent with the literature.

KEYWORDS: Uterine rupture; Unscarred uterus; Prognosis.

INTRODUCTION

Uterine rupture in an unscarred uterus is a rare and serious obstetric complication that can compromise short-term maternal and fetal prognosis as well as the medium-term obstetric prognosis of patients. This complication affects young women during their reproductive years. Few data are available on uterine rupture in an unscarred uterus due to the extreme rarity of this condition. The rate of hysterectomy is estimated to be between 20 and 30%, and fetal mortality between 12 and 35% [1-2]. The risk factors are poorly identified.

In this study, we present the clinical and therapeutic aspects of this condition based on a series of six cases collected in the gynecology-obstetrics department of the Maternity and Neonatology Center in Monastir, Tunisia.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

We conducted a retrospective descriptive monocentric study over a 6-year period from 2017 to 2022. The inclusion criteria were cases of uterine rupture in an unscarred uterus at term. An unscarred uterus

is defined as the absence of a uterine scar, regardless of the cause. We excluded ruptures occurring before 37 weeks' gestation, scarred uteri, uterine malformations, and patients with a history of gynecological surgery with perforation or at risk of scar that might have gone unnoticed (history of uterine surgery, uterine plastic surgery). Data were collected retrospectively from the patients' medical records and operative report books.

We carried out statistical analysis using Microsoft Office Excel 2019 and IBM SPSS. We studied the following criteria:

- Maternal age
- Parity
- Body mass index
- Gemellarity
- Abnormalities of the pelvis
- The circumstances of the uterine rupture (before or after labor)
- The characteristics of labor, delivery, and the postpartum period
- The characteristics of the rupture (its type and site)
- The type of treatment (conservative or not)
- The characteristics of the newborn (birth weight, Apgar score at 5 minutes, hospitalization in the intensive care unit, mortality)

RESULTS

During the study period, 34,255 deliveries took place. We recorded six cases of uterine rupture in an unscarred uterus. The incidence was 1.7/10,000 deliveries.

Patient characteristics (Table 1):

Maternal age ranged from 24 to 40 years, with an average of 31.3 ± 5.55 years. Parity was 3.67 ± 0.51 , ranging from two to five. Four patients were obese. All pregnancies were singleton. One patient had a pelvic abnormality, and there were no cases of hydramnios in these pregnancies.

Patient	1	2	3	4	5	6
Age	24	32	25	33	40	34
Parity	2	3	4	4	5	4
BMI	34,9	31,1	27,6	45,7	33,2	26
Twin	No	No	No	No	No	No
pregnancy						
Gestational	39	40	42WA+1jr	41WA+3days	40WA+1days	38wa+2
age						days
Clinical	Metrorrhagia	Hemodynamic	Metrorrhagia	NRFHT	NRFHT	Bradycardia
signs		instability				
Moment of	Latent phase	Post	Post	Complete	Complete	Active phase
Discovery		Dontum	Doutum	dilatation of	dilatation of	cm dilatatio
		Fartum	Fartum	cervix	cervix	
Labor	Dinoprostone	-	Misoprostol	Stripping	Misoprostol	-
induction				membranes		

Table1: (Main characteristics of patients.)

		1						
FBW	3900	3500	4000	4400	4200	3200		
Apgar	6	7	6	Fetal or	Fetal or	4		
Score in 5				neonatal	neonatal			
minutes		Admission to		death	death	Admission		
		NICU				to NICU		
Site of	Upper	Complex	Lower	Upper	Upper	Lower		
rupture	segment		segment	segment	segment	segment		
Treatment	Uterine	Hysterectomy	Uterine	Hysterectomy	Uterine	Uterine		
	repair		repair		repair	repair		
NRFHT: non-reassuring fetal heart rate tracing; NICU: neonatal intensive care center; FBW: fetal								
body weight								
WA: weeks of amenorrhea; BMI: Body mass index								

Circumstances of discovery and characteristics of labor and delivery (table 2): The mean gestational age was 40.1 ± 2.92 weeks, and 2 patients were at or past term. All cases of rupture occurred during labor. Two of them were discovered in the postpartum period: one following the onset of maternal hypotension and the other following the onset of metrorrhagia. Labor was induced in 66.7% of cases, and the average duration of the active phase was 0.57 hours. Three patients had a non-reassuring fetal heart rate tracing at complete dilatation of the cervix, two others presented with metrorrhagia, and one case of rupture was discovered with the onset of hemodynamic instability in the postpartum period. Three patients had normal vaginal deliveries, and three by caesarean section. All presentations were cephalic.

Induced lab	4(66.7)		
	Stripping	1(16.7)	
	membranes		
Techniques of labour	Misoprostol	2(33.3)	
induction (%)	Dinoprostone	1(16.7)	
mauction (%)	extra-	0	
	amniotic Foley's		
	catheter		
	Vaginal delivery	3(50)	
Types of delivery (%)	Forceps	0	
Types of derivery (%)	Emergency	3(50)	
	cesarean		
	<3000	0	
Fetal body weight (g)	3000-4000	3(50)	
	>4000	3(50)	
Average fetu	3870 grams		
Average length of	0,56 hours		
Surgical techniques	Uterine repair	4(66.7)	
(%)	Hysterectomy	2(33.3)	

Site of UR: The most common site of UR was the upper segment in 66.7% of cases.

Therapeutic management: All cases were managed surgically, with conservative management by simple suture in four cases. Two cases required hysterectomy.

Maternal prognosis: We noted three cases of rupture complicated by hemorrhage. Two patients presented with postpartum hemorrhage complicated by hemorrhagic shock requiring transfusion. No maternal deaths were recorded. The neonatal weight ranged between 3,500 and 4,200 grams. Among the six children, there were two fetal deaths in utero and two cases of transfer to the neonatology department.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we collected six cases of rupture in unscarred uteri over a period of six years. Maternal and fetal morbidity appeared to be high, with three cases complicated by hemorrhage (two hemorrhagic shocks), two fetal deaths in utero, and two transfers to the neonatology department. The incidence of uterine rupture in unscarred uteri varies between series. In developing countries, it is 1/287 in Abioudun, Nigeria ^[3], and 1/519 in Elkady, Egypt ^[4]. In our series, the rate is 1.7 per 10,000.

In contrast, this rate is very low in developed countries, reaching frequencies of less than one per 10,000^[5]. In a recent registry study in Norway, Al Zirqi found a rate of 3.26 per 100,000^[6], and Mulot in France found a rate of 2.8 per 100,000^[7]. It is therefore clear that the frequency of UR depends on the level of socio-sanitary development and the quality of obstetric care. In addition, this variability in incidence rates could be explained by the heterogeneity of the definition of UR within the series, some of which have included cases of uterine perforation that went unnoticed (during aspiration or other surgical techniques with an endo-uterine approach).

There are many risk factors for the occurrence of UR in an unscarred uterus. Studies have shown an increased risk of rupture when prostaglandins and oxytocin are used ^[8]. According to Sweeten ^[9], this is due to a lack of automated control and systematic monitoring of labor. Multiparity is considered a risk factor for UR. In our series, 60% of patients were multiparous. This can be explained by histological changes in the uterine muscles. Other factors may include the fragility of the uterine wall, such as the presence of arteriovenous malformations, uterine diverticula, and endometriosis ^[10].

UR in an unscarred uterus presents heterogeneous and non-specific symptoms, leading to frequent delays in management and more serious complications ^{[1] [6]}. Metrorrhagia at the end of labor or in the immediate postpartum period should raise the alarm ^[11]. In addition, some authors mention symptoms such as pain that is resistant to analgesia and the onset of unexplained maternal hypotension ^{[12], [13]}. Wang ^[14] found in his series that non-reassuring fetal heart rate tracings were present in 80% of cases.

Uterine rupture is an obstetric emergency and must be treated immediately. Hysterectomy was the recommended treatment for UR until the 1980s. Today, it is mainly indicated in cases where repair has failed or is impossible. Suturing has the advantage of being easy and rapid and of preserving patients' fertility, with an estimated risk of recurrence of between 4% and 19% in a subsequent pregnancy ^[15].

The operation must consider certain parameters: the patient's age, parity, the extent of the lesions, the possible risk to future pregnancies, the resources, and above all the experience of the obstetric team ^[16].

Rupture in an unscarred uterus is fraught with high maternal and fetal morbidity and mortality ^{[12], [17]}, probably due to the implausibility of the diagnosis, the delay in management, and the extent of the lesions. Plauche ^[18] et al found a fetal mortality rate of 44%, but the authors reported no maternal deaths. Shrinsky et al ^[19] found in their study that the maternal mortality rate was 20.8%, and the fetal mortality rate was 64.6%.

Conclusion: Uterine rupture in an unscarred uterus is a serious obstetric complication, with a high maternalfetal mortality rate due to delayed diagnosis and inadequate management. All obstetricians should be aware of it. It should be suspected in the presence of a serious alteration in fetal heart rate, whether or not associated with hemorrhage. The management of this condition is a vital emergency, with maternal and fetal prognoses at stake, as well as the obstetrical outcome of the patients.

REFERENCES

- 1. Gibbins KJ, Weber T, Holmgren CM, Porter TF, Varner MW, Manuck TA. Maternal and fetal morbidity associated with uterine rupture of the unscarred uterus. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2015;213(3). 382.e1-382.e6.
- 1. 2.Catanzarite V, Maida C, Thomas W, Mendoza A, Stanco L, Piacquadio KM. Prenatal sonographic diagnosis of vasa previa: ultrasound findings and ob-stetric outcome in ten cases. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2001;18(2):109-15.
- 2. Abiodun P. A, Ijaiya MDA, Yahaya UR. Ruptured Uterus: A Study of 100 Consecutive Cases in Ilorin, Nigeria. J Obstet Gynaecol Res. Dec 2001;27(6):3418-.
- 3. Elkady AA. Elkady AA, Bayomy HM, Bekhiet MT, Nagib HS, Wahba AK. A review of 126 cases of ruptured gravid uterus. Int Surg 1993;78(3):231-5.
- Hofmeyr GJ, Say L, Gülmezoglu AM. WHO systematic review of maternal mortality and morbidity: the prevalence of uterine rupture. BJOG Int J Obstet Gynaecol. Sept 2005; 112(9) :12218-.
- 5. Al-Zirqi I, Daltveit AK, Vangen S. Infant outcome after complete uterine rupture. Am J Obstet Gynecol. Jul 2018;219(1): 109.e1-109.e8.
- 6. Mulot S, Thibon P, Rikelman S, Andre M, Dreyfus M, Benoist G. Uterine rupture of the unscarred uterus: A report of 10 cases. Gynecol Obstet Fertil Senol. 2018 Nov;46(10-11):692695.
- 7. French. doi: 10.1016/j.gofs.2018.09. 007.Epub2018 Oct4. PMID:30293949.
- 8. Phuapradit W, Herabutya Y, Saropala N. Uterine rupture and labor induction with prostaglandins. J Med Assoc Thai 1993 May;76(5): 292-5.
- 9. Sweeten KM. Sweeten KM, Graves WK, Athanassiou A. Spontaneous rupture of the unscarred uterus. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1995;172(6):1851-5.
- 10. 10. Sho EP, Wells M, Baxter T, Lane G. Recurrent spontaneous uterine rupture in a nulliparous young woman. Br J Obstet Gynaecol 1995;102(5):420-1.
- 11. 11.D Toumi, M amina, R Issa, A Grassi, I Miladi, E Guerbej et al. Severe anaemia revealing uterine rupture late in postpartum: case report.Jaccr Africa 2023 ;7(4) :47-52
- 12. Ahmadi S, Nouira M, Bibi M, Bouguizane S, Saidi H, Chaib A, et al. Uterine rupture in healthy pregnant uterus. About 28 cases. Gynécologie Obstétrique Fertil. Sept 2003;31(9):7137-.
- 13. Amate P, Aflak N, Luton D. Uterine rupture in pregnancy. EMC Obstet 2014;10 [5-080-A10].
- 14. Wang YL, Su TH. Obstetric uterine rupture of the unscarred uterus: a twenty-year clinical analysis. Gynecol Obstet Invest. 2006 ;62(3):1315-.
- 15. Gautier C, VanBelle Y, VanBogaert LJ, DeMuylder E. Uterine rupture: reflections on a spontaneous case in mid-pregnancy. J Gycol Obstet Biol Reprod 1985; 4:201-9.
- 16. Fatfouta I, Villeroy de Galhau S, Dietsch J, Eicher E, Perrin D. Spontaneous uterine rupture in a healthy uterus during labour: case report and review of the literature. J Gynécologie Obstétrique Biol Reprod. Apr 2008;37(2): -2003.
- 17. 17.Zwart JJ, Richters JM, Ory F, de Vries JIP, Bloemenkamp KWM, van Roosmalen J. Uterine rupture in The Netherlands: a nationwide population-based cohort study. BJOG Int J Obstet Gynaecol. July 2009;116(8):106978-; discussion 1078-1080.

- 18. Plauche WC, Von Almen W, Muller R. Catastrophic uterine rupture. Obstet Gynecol 1984; 64:792-6.
- 19. Schrinsky DC, Benson RC. Rupture of the pregnant uterus: a review. Obstet Gynecol Surv 1978; 33:217-32.